

**MINUTES
NORTH LEBANON TOWNSHIP
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS
JUNE 15, 2009**

The regularly scheduled meeting of the North Lebanon Township Board of Supervisors was held at 7:00 PM at the Municipal Building located at 725 Kimmerlings Road, Lebanon, PA with the following people present:

Edward A. Brensinger	Chairman
Dawn M. Hawkins	Treasurer
Cheri F. Grumbine	Township Manager
Harold L. Easter	Chief of Police
Frederick S. Wolf	Henry & Beaver LLP

Also in attendance was Brad Habecker of the Lebanon Daily News and several other individuals. The meeting was called to order and the pledge to the flag was done.

COMMENTS FROM THE PUBLIC

A.) Ralph Duquette – Lebanon Valley Conservancy; Res 13-2009

Mr. Duquette introduced himself and said he is a member of the Lebanon Valley Conservancy Board. A mapping of Lebanon County was displayed showing a definite route. Also located on the map was some various other routes which are considered minor. The routes represent what the Conservancy considers to be a Heritage Trail through the municipalities which make up Lebanon County. There are 2 exceptions and they are Cold Springs and North Cornwall Twp. This trail will highlight the historic sites and features that we have to offer which may not be known. Duquette said we have a lot of travelers traveling through this area on the way to Hershey and they don't even stop in Lebanon County. The goal of the Conservancy is to bring these features to light and make people aware of how much history there is right here in our area.

Suv Hawkins asked about the main trail shown on the map. Is this the area that they are hoping to designate at this time? Duquette mentioned several facilities that offer tours, such as Seltzers in Palmyra. A lot of people are not aware that Seltzers offer tours to the public. Some for these facilities are not noted on the trail yet. They are hoping to find someone with the knowledge to create a website and have the ability to click on a picture and have the information pop up to view. The idea is to start at ground level and work from there.

Suv Hawkins asked about some of the other notations on the mapping. Duquette stated they are noted because they are interesting features however not a part of the proposed Heritage Trail. She next asked if the Twp were to offer their support of this Trail, what responsibility does that imply for the Township, if any? Duquette stated that they are asking the Twp to support the concept of the Trail and are not asking for any other responsibilities from the Twp. The pictured Trail is on existing roads. There are no new routes being created. Suv Hawkins said does she understand that this is a driving trail. Duquette agreed it is essentially a driving trail. Some portions could be a biking trail.

Her next questions were in regards to the signs (a sample shown) planned to use to draw the public's attention to the trail. Duquette explained the Twp has no responsibilities for the signage other than to permit the signs to be posted within North Lebanon Twp. All municipalities have different requirements for the posted signage. Duquette repeated the Conservancy is asking the municipalities for approval of this concept not any financial or maintenance responsibilities for this trail.

Sol Wolf voiced concerns about the last paragraph in the sample resolution which talks about the Twp upholding the Trail for perpetuity. Suv Brensinger agreed this portion of the resolution had concerned him also. Duquette stated the resolution was a sample which could be adjusted to fit the needs of each individual municipality.

Lebanon Valley Conservancy; Res 13-2009 (con't)

Suv Brensinger said he agrees some revisions should be done to the resolution and one of the Board members is not present tonight. He thinks the Board will be ready to act on this request during the July meeting. He asked Duquette if that would be agreeable. Duquette indicated agreement.

Glen Kreiser questioned the mention of Grants and applying for funding. He told the Board he would like them to keep in mind the Conservancy would be applying for the same government money the Twp is trying to get for our Twp parks. Duquette stated that some of the funding they go after is from the private sector. They do not rely solely on Grants from the State and Federal governments.

B.) Bruce Sattazahn – Weavertown Road; Screen Plantings Narrows Glen

Mr. Sattazahn stated he is here to discuss some concerns about the Narrows Glen development located on Narrows Drive, in particular the required screen plantings along the northern portion of the development. Mrs. Sattazahn had an opportunity to speak with the new property owner recently and learned some interesting details concerning the screen plantings. The screen plantings were a requirement noted on the development plans. The owner mentioned that once the Twp gained ownership of the streets and the development in general, the property owners are at liberty to maintain, or not maintain the required screen plantings. This information is the property owners understanding of what he had been told.

Bruce is telling the Board he has a real concern about this conversation as he is the one who was instrumental in getting Landmark to agree to these screen plantings in the first place. Being a landscaper by trade, Sattazahn is well aware of the growth patterns and maintenance required for these white pines. His thoughts are what is going to stop future property owners from just removing these white pines all together in order to gain a larger area for a backyard. He had placed a call to Rick Bolt of Lebanon County Planning and talked to him about this issue.

After speaking to Mgr Grumbine on this issue, she completed some research and had learned that if the information is recorded on the deeds the information could be removed from the deed at any time the property transfers to a new owner. Sattazahn looked to Sol Wolf for a confirmation on this piece of information. Sol Wolf stated that it depends on the wording in the deed. If the deed states the owner and subsequent owners are subject to the restrictions shown on the plan which run with the land, the owners are then subjected to those conditions. If the deeds are already recorded and do not contain the proper language another document could be recorded stating this current owner and subsequent owners are subject to the plan. Sattazahn's next questioned the responsibility. Is it the Twp's, the developer's or the County's responsibility? Sol Wolf replied it is not always a clear answer. It is sometimes tied in with the final inspections completed before bonding is released. However there is usually something that happens which would bring it to someone's attention. Sol Wolf repeated a series of events he thinks would take place when the developer is requesting to have bonding reduced as these items get completed. Bruce repeated he is really concerned about the future years not right now. He can foresee future homeowners decrying a hardship to replace the trees and the Twp agreeing to not enforce the requirements noted on the plans.

Sattazahn directed his next comments to the Supervisors. He is questioning what their thoughts are on a notation of required 6ft evergreens listed on the plans and the planting of 2 ½ ft to 3 ft evergreens which have been placed on the property borderline. He cautioned them that the height of the trees is not measured from bottom to top as most people would imagine. He considers it ridiculous that this would be considered acceptable. Sattazahn explained so many different problems he sees with this acceptance of circumventing the requirements noted on the plan. Some discussion took place about the height issue.

Mgr Grumbine was asked to inform Mr. Sattazahn about a letter dated June 10, 2009 which she had sent to Landmark on this issue. The letter listed all the issues Bruce just discussed. The letter also asked for a meeting to discuss a resolution to the issues.

Screen Plantings Narrows Glen (con't)

To date a response has not been received but is anticipated within the week. She assured him they all understand his feelings and concerns.

Suv Brensinger mentioned these issues are common with sewer and utility easements that are located on the properties. Most buyers are not aware of the various easements located on the properties. All they see is a map of the development and are choosing the location they think they want. He continued on to say even if it is noted on the deed many property owners still are not aware of the easements.

Bruce questioned the paving of Mt Zion Road. The result is very poor. Chm Brensinger replied it is a PADOT project and he does not know how they will handle this issue as they had done the paving themselves. If it were here in North Lebanon Twp the bill would not be paid until it was completed satisfactorily.

C.) Mr. Arnold – Kenbrook Road

Mr. Arnold questioned the Board if they were aware that the church located on Grace Avenue continually rings bells on the hour every weekday? He was curious if there are any ordinances in place about this. He wanted the Board to know it is not complaining about the bells. He just wondered if there is an ordinance about the bells being rung. After some discussion Chp Brensinger said he does not know of any off hand but it can be checked into.

D.) Martin Barondick – New Street

Barondick said he had a suggestion for the Supervisors. He mentioned the June meeting lasting until quarter of eleven. The discussion about ordinances was held at 7pm instead of 7:30pm. After that discussion the Tenaska presentation was held. His suggestion is that the normal Twp business be discussed first. After that the special interest groups can discuss their issue. Barondick says he feels it is unfair to the regular attendees at all the meetings. Suv Brensinger said he feels the Tenaska issue was Twp business. Barondick said he agrees it was but the regulars who attend every meeting attend to hear about Twp business for the whole Twp not just a single issue that someone wants to discuss. The ones who attend every meeting are concerned about the whole Twp.

Barondick told the Board his next comment is the objection he has to seeing 2 Twp employees working at a house located on N 8th Avenue when he feels they should be out working on Twp roadways. He directed his next comment to Chf Easter. Was a complaint ever filed on the Diem farm located on Kercher Avenue? Chf Easter indicated he does not know without speaking to Officer Wengert. Barondick said he finds the condition of the property to be a disgrace. When he noticed the Farm Preservation sign it really made him mad. He voiced his opinion that something needs to be done about that.

The next issue he wanted to bring to the Board's attention was the transfer of properties listed in the newspaper. He said the farmland purchased for the Tenaska Company amounted to 4 million and some change. It is now official. Barondick questioned why this company would pay out that amount of money strictly on speculation unless they are thinking that they are getting a green light for this project? Chp Brensinger told Barondick he would have to ask Tenaska that question. Suv Brensinger mentioned Tenaska had also purchased property in West Moreland County for 3 million. That is the business they are in and those questions need to be asked of Tenaska not this Board. Barondick said he hopes to see the completion of this project. How is a power plant going to be constructed on properties that are zoned Agricultural? Questioned Barondick. Suv Brensinger said that is a bridge that will get crossed when an application is submitted. Barondick said he is not concerned about the power plant. What he is concerned about is the use of good farmland.

E.) Earl Roberts – Kercher Avenue; Proposed Tenaska Power Plant

Mr. Roberts told the Board he wanted to acknowledge the individual responsible for getting Mr. Culver to attend a meeting before the land transfers was completed.

Proposed Tenaska Power Plant (con't)

Indeed it was only a few days before but at least it was before the actual sale. Roberts told the Board he wanted them to be aware that Culver had indicated that night, right before the May meeting, that he thought it would be a fun meeting. Roberts said this shows how lightly Culver took the meaning of the meeting. His next remark was about the tapes used to record the meetings. Mgr Grumbine had told Roberts the tapes are kept only until the minutes are approved. Mr. Roberts said he would like everyone to catch the language at the last meeting when Mr. Culver first started his remarks. Culver remarked he wanted everyone to see what Tenaska is going to do in Lebanon County. Culver then backed up to say what is planned and hoped to do in Lebanon County, according to Mr. Roberts. Roberts said this shows the thinking that is going on from Tenaska's perspective.

Mr. Roberts referred to the application for Emissions Reduction Credit. Culver during the May meeting stated the exact location of the power plant has not been determined yet. However in this application for reduction of emissions the longitude and latitude were spelled out. Roberts stated that Culver out and out lied to everybody in the room. Statements that had been made by Culver to Lebanon County Planning, Mr. Hains and to Roberts himself indicated that Culver knew exactly where Tenaska is hoping to locate the plant. Roberts repeated that he would like to have the tapes maintained. Sol Wolf told Mr. Roberts he has the right to record the meetings for himself. He does not need the Twp to maintain the tapes when he can maintain them himself.

During the NLT Planning Commission meeting Mgr Grumbine reported that a meeting was to have taken place with Lebanon County Planning Dept regarding the proposed Tenaska plant. Roberts said he would like to be told what the nature of that meeting was and what was said. Roberts said he would like to be assured that the representatives of this Twp in these dealings are impartial and have a balanced view of what is important for the Twp. He wants to know these individuals do not have their own agenda. He has serious questions as to the agenda of some of the Twp representatives. He does not wish to be represented by someone who is biased, prejudiced and is headed in a direction that is counter to what is best for the Twp. He is concerned that 350 acres of prime historic farmland is going to be turned into a power plant and tree farm. Tenaska has said that is what they plan to do. He expects the Twp to approach this in an open, unbiased, unprejudiced and non-slanted manner. The people of the Twp deserve to know what is going on within their township. He mentioned again that he was the one who had pressed both townships to get Culver in here to talk.

Another issue he wanted to mention was the topic of an environmental engineer being hired for environmental studies to be accomplished. Due to his various work affiliations, Mr. Roberts said he knows this issue is not an environmental one. He has no doubt Tenaska will be able to address every environmental issue possible. The issue with this project is land use and appropriate land use according to Roberts. Zoning is also an issue here. His suggestion is when trying to hire someone to do these studies; we need to make sure it is someone who is knowledgeable about all these topics.

Mr. Roberts asked Suv Brensinger what is the expectation now that we have a new land owner in the Twp? Suv Brensinger said he would expect them to proceed with whatever planning they will be required to accomplish. They have a huge water issue to overcome. The zoning issue will also have to be addressed. Sol Wolf cautioned the Board that they cannot comment on any type of zoning opinion. The Supervisors are not able to comment prior to having a public hearing and listening to the public's opinion. Mr. Roberts clarified that he is asking what the next logical step is that Tenaska will take. Sol Wolf said that once all the studies and information is gathered it is obvious Tenaska will submit a petition through Lebanon County Planning for rezoning. Unless Tenaska finds out that a key ingredient is not possible to obtain, a petition for rezoning is required. Roberts next questioned what role does Swatara Twp play in this process if the plant is physically located in North Lebanon Twp? Sol Wolf said Swatara's Supervisors will have to answer that question. They have their own solicitor to advise them on their rights.

Glen Kreiser asked if the purchase of the farm land will affect the assessment on the lands. The question of a reassessment is not a definite. The people in the Assessment office make that determination.

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

MOTION was made and seconded to approve the minutes from May 18, 2009. Motion unanimously carried.

APPROVAL PAYROLL and PAYMENT OF INVOICES

MOTION was made and seconded to approve payroll, invoices for payment subject to audit. Motion unanimously carried.

FIRE CHIEF’S REPORT – Brian Vragovich

Vragovich was not available to attend this evening. He indicated he would report on May and June at the July meeting.

CHIEF OF POLICE REPORT - Harold Easter**A.) Calls for Service – May 2009**

The total calls for service in the month of May were 293 with the high day being Friday, Saturday and then Thursday. Chf Easter mentioned some of the various calls received and the total amount of miles logged on the vehicles.

B.) National Night Out for 2009 CANCELLED

Chf Easter explained that the State funding for the D.A.R.E. program has been eliminated. The department met and agreed to cancel National Night Out for 2009 in order to channel the funds from that event into the D.A.R.E. program which educates 5th grade students on the use and abuse of drugs, alcohol and tobacco.

C.) SCAMS

Chf Easter warned the public that the scammers are on the rise. If you should receive something suspicious in the mail or receive a phone call, call the department immediately. Do not give out any information such as account numbers or social security numbers. Be aware of the scammers.

Chf Easter reported there were 42 faulty equipment cards issues this month. When asked about the “failure to dim lights” Chf responded he had no idea how many, if any citations were issued in this regard.

TOWNSHIP MANAGERS REPORT – Cheri F. Grumbine**A.) Gracely Subdivision Plan**

A plan for the Gracely subdivision shows a lot subdivision for a single family residential purpose. LCPD is recommending approval and the Municipal Authority had approved the plan at their May meeting. The NLT Planning Commission is recommending approval of the plan on condition that a shared driveway maintenance agreement is signed. However after reviewing the driveway issue with LCPD staff it is apparent the driveway is not shared but rather the property lines will separate the 2 driveways. The 2 driveways will still be contiguous with each other but will be separate on deeds. When the driveway permit is issue for the new owner a note will be placed on the permit to reflect the responsibility of each property owner for their respective driveways. After some discussion the Board acted on this subdivision plan.

MOTION was made and seconded to approve the Gracely Subdivision Plan. Motion unanimously carried.

B.) Morrissey Lot Addition Plan – Mt Zion Road

This plan shows a lot addition to the Seyfert property from the Morrissey lands. LCPD is recommending approval as well as the NLT Planning Commission. Sol Wolf mentioned the required new deeds have not yet been received as their attorney is on vacation. He is anticipating receipt of the deeds next week. The new deeds are a part of this process when lot additions are proposed.

MOTION was made and seconded to approve the Morrissey Lot Addition Plan conditional on receiving the new deeds for the property. Motion unanimously carried.

C.) WAM Project Update

Mgr Grumbine informed the Board that she had received communication from George Lulos from WAM Enterprise indicating the warehouse project on the Hershey Bare tract located off Heilmandale Road has been terminated. This information has been passed on to LCPD staff and the Wastewater Dept as this proposal affected the Orange Lane Pump Station.

D.) Resolution No. 11-2009; Deed of Dedication for Portion of Canal Street

The Twp received an additional right-of-way when the Dundore plan for E Canal Street was processed. To create a 25' R-O-W an additional 8.74' on the north side of Canal Street was acquired. The deed has now been recorded in the Recorder of Deeds office on April 20 and a Resolution is required to be adopted. Resolution No. 11-2009 outlines the additional right-of-way acquired and will be recorded with the Clerk of Courts.

MOTION was made and seconded to adopt Resolution No. 14-2009 regarding additional R-O-W on Canal Street. Motion unanimously carried.

E.) CLSD Task Force Meeting; June 29 @6:30pm – South Lebanon Twp

A Task Force meeting for the Cornwall-Lebanon School District will be held on Monday, June 29, 2009 @ 6:30pm to continue discussions on joint projects and specific thoughts on proceeding with a joint Comprehensive Plan.

F.) Letter from PADOT Regarding Traffic Signals/ C.M. High Response

A notification was received from PADOT earlier this year outlining the responsibilities of the Twp on traffic signals, including traffic warning devices. PADOT places this responsibility on the municipalities at the time of application for a signal. The maintenance includes cleaning of lens, reflectors and visors, regular head lamp replacement, trimming trees, replacing missing and damaged signs that are listed on the permit, and ensuring that the signal is working properly in order to keep the traffic flow operating smoothly. Additional things such as inspecting the signal supports, messenger cables and clamps, wiring replacing the controller cabinet filter and checking the cabinet gasket also remain our responsibility for signalized intersections. Also pavement markings that are shown on the permit are important. PADOT is responsible for center and edge lines on state routes and the municipality is responsible for all other markings; example being, crosswalks, stop bars, lane control arrows, and word messages, and center and edge lines on local streets. The battery in the solid-state controllers, which holds the memory data in case of external failure must be checked every six months and replaced as needed by the municipality. With the adoption of the Manual on Uniform Traffic Control Devices (MUTCD), the annual certification testing of the conflict monitor with generated test report is recommended. In addition we are a member of the PA One Call System and the township must mark any underground wiring before a contractor is given permission to dig near a signalized intersection.

Every year the township contracts with C.M. High for a semi-annual inspection of two signals. One is located at Rte 422 & 15 Avenue and the other is located at Rte72 & Long Lane. The light at Rte 422 & 22nd Street was previously maintained by Boscov's and with the new agreement for the CVS Project will become the responsibility of the developer/owner, Lebanon CP of Camp Hill. The traffic signal at Pansy Hill is the responsibility of the Lebanon Valley Economic Development Corporation. The traffic signal at Rte 422 & Prescott Drive is shared between North Lebanon and South Lebanon Townships. North Lebanon pays the annual electricity costs and South Lebanon covers maintenance costs. At the end of each year we bill each other for half of the costs associated with electricity and maintenance.

C.M. High has submitted a proposal of \$84 per test per year, per traffic signal in order to complete the conflict monitor testing. This would provide the Twp with verification that the intersection was operating as designed at the time of the test. The report generated will be valuable and could be used in a lawsuit if needed to benefit the Twp's position.

Letter from PADOT Regarding Traffic Signals (con't)

Based on all this information Mgr Grumbine is recommending that the Board enter into an agreement with C.M. High to perform the conflict monitor testing for Rte 422 & 15th Avenue traffic signal and the Rte 72 & Long Lane traffic signal.

Chp Brensinger asked if the other entities that are involved will also be mandated to follow this Manual. Mgr Grumbine stated a letter could be sent suggesting it be followed. She looked to Sol Wolf for an answer on the mandatory questions. Mgr Grumbine verified that PADOT is strongly recommending this testing for conflict but it is not mandatory. Chp Brensinger repeated he felt the LVEDC and Boscov's/CVS should also be expected to complete this annual testing. Sol Wolf agreed that the other parties should also comply with the testing. Mgr Grumbine reminded the Board of an accident about a month ago at the 15th Avenue signal. The Twp contacted C.M. High at that time to verify the proper operating of the signals.

MOTION was made and seconded to enter into an agreement with C.M. High to conduct conflict monitoring testing on the 2 signals that the Twp is responsible for, Rte 72 & Long Lane and Rte 422 & 15th Avenue. Motion unanimously carried.

G.) Letter of Appreciation – 5th Ward Athletic Club

Mgr Grumbine read a letter of appreciation from the 5th Ward Athletic Club thanking the Twp for allowing them use of some equipment to maintain the athletic fields. The letter stated it is because of the cooperation the club is able to stay in existence.

SOLICITORS REPORT – Solicitor Frederick Wolf**A.) N 11th Avenue RR Crossing –Appeal Request Denied by Supreme Court**

Sol Wolf reported since the May meeting he had met with the MPO to review and discuss financial issues outlined by the railroad company for the work to be completed with the gates and signals. The MPO and PADOT have indicated their agreement of the prices for the project. The MPO has also indicated they have the necessary funds to complete the project even with the increase in the costs. The information will be reported to the Public Utility Commission in order to get approval to begin the project.

B.) Resolution No. 16-2009; Revised Keystone Agreements - Delinquents

Previously an agreement had been approved with Keystone for the collection of delinquent taxes. The agreement gives approval for attorney costs and fees should they get involved in the collection process. This revised agreement has been revised to reflect payment to Keystone for collection of delinquent taxes and receiving the costs for the services of collecting Street Light and Per Capital only. He is recommending the Board sign the agreement and adopt Resolution 16-2009.

MOTION was made and seconded to approve the revised agreement with Keystone and adopt Resolution No. 16-2009. Motion unanimously carried.

Charles Allwein mentioned the collection of the taxes for this current year. Unlike previous years when the County tax and the Municipal tax were billed separately, the taxes were billed jointly this year. For some people who like to take advantage of the discount period offered, it was more difficult to do so. He is suggesting that the County tax be separated from the Municipal tax in order to have the flexibility of paying one or the other and taking advantage of the discount period. Mgr Grumbine said the County Treasurer had completed the billing being mentioned. She said she would check to see what process can be done for next year.

C.) Resolution No. 14-2009; Adoption of Support Regarding Over/Under Proposal

This over/under payment issue has been discussed many times in the past. After the auditing process was completed, the result for North Lebanon Twp was that we were under paid by a substantial amount.

Resolution No. 14-2009; EIT Over/Under Proposal (con't)

Mgr Grumbine was instrumental in drafting a plan for all municipalities/school districts to pay back or receive back in a payment plan funds due to them within the next 10 years with no interest. One and a half tax mills were used when considering the payment plan. After that consideration there were 3 municipalities that would need longer than the 10 years period. The proposal was circulated to all municipalities and school districts for their review. Each municipality/ school district had the opportunity to either agree with this plan or create a plan they felt would work better. This would be the first step in the process, all parties being in agreement with the process. The final audit is still to be completed. Resolution No. 14-2009 is approving the plan for use after all figures have been finalized and approved.

Suv Hawkins questioned what would happen if all parties do not agree on this plan. Sol Wolf explained there are two steps for this plan to work. The final specific numbers for all municipalities/school districts must be determined and then everyone agreeing to a plan for all over/under payments to be processed. The thought being that the EIT Board would act as the treasurer for all funds coming in and going out. If we do not all agree then we are back to square one. Everyone is concerned with avoiding litigation.

MOTION was made and seconded to adopt Resolution No. 14-2009 outlining a method of collection and/or payment of funds owed. Motion unanimously carried.

D.) Resolution No. 15-2009; Tolling Agreement – EIT Over/Under Issue

As the process of trying to get this issue settled may take awhile, it was decided to ensure that any items where a statute of limitations might be involved does not become another issue, a Tolling Agreement is being signed to waive all statutes of limitations that might apply. While trying to come to a final resolution to this whole situation this agreement/ resolution will eliminate anyone having to file a suit. There are 3 revisions that will be made to this agreement. Should the Board agree to adopt Resolution No. 15-2009; the actual agreement will be held until the final agreement including the revisions is completed. At that time the agreement and the Resolution will be brought before the Board and signed.

Suv Hawkins questioned again the possibility of one of the municipalities/ school districts not agreeing to this Tolling Agreement. Sol Wolf explained this agreement will eliminate all the attorneys concerns about constantly being aware of the statute of limitations throughout this whole process.

MOTION was made and seconded to adopt Resolution No. 15-2009 adopting the Tolling Agreement, with the revisions pending. Motion unanimously carried.

E.) Homeowners Association Documents; The Crossings @ Sweet Briar

After a review of Sol Wolf's comments he and Mgr Grumbine met to discuss finalizing the revisions to the HOA documents. After a final draft is completed it will be provided to the Supervisors for their review. The developer will receive a draft after the Supervisors indicate their satisfaction with the document.

F.) Truck Traffic Agreement; Kreider's Property-Tunnel Hill Road

We are still waiting on the requested information to be provided.

G.) Code Enforcement Issues

At last month's meeting Sol Wolf had reported on 2 different situations being filed in court. One of the situations has now nearly completed their list of corrections. At the end of this month Sol Wolf will ask Officer Wengert to complete an inspection. For the other situation, the owners have indicated they are ready to start on cleaning up the property but the property had been padlocked. A copy of a letter from their attorney has been forwarded to Officer Wengert.

H.) Pertinent Issues

1. **DCED** has designated that all EIT collections must be performed by one assigned collections agency for all county municipalities. This process will begin this year. The County Commissioners will be scheduling a meeting for all municipalities and school districts to attend. DCED has indicated that a Board similar to what exists or the same as what now exists would probably be acceptable. Representation and bylaws will need to be established and adopted. The information that is coming into Sol Wolf's office is not yet finalized. As the information is coming to him, he will share it with Mgr Grumbine.

2. **Verizon** has contacted the Twp about providing cable service to the area. The Solicitors for each municipality have agreed to inform their respective municipalities that they would like to hire someone to review the Verizon agreement to ensure that any existing agreement with Comcast is in sync with the Verizon agreement. An attorney in Pittsburgh is the person the Solicitors feel should review the Verizon agreement for contents. Each Solicitor will then take this man's recommendations back to their municipalities. If all municipalities are in agreement, they would all split the expense for this Pittsburgh person. A major concern is that all municipalities receive all allowable charges they are permitted to ask from Verizon. Sol Wolf repeated this is just for the Boards' information at this time.

COMMENTS FROM BOARD MEMBERS**A.) Offices Closed Friday, July 3 for Independence Day**

Chm Brensinger reported the Twp offices will be closed Friday, July 3rd for the Independence Day holiday. As there was no more business to conduct or discuss the meeting adjourned.

Respectfully Submitted,

Theresa L. George
Recording Secretary